

Convergence of Measures in a Non-Archimedean Stochastic Setting

Erik Makino Bakken¹

Joint work with Trond Digernes¹ and David Weisbart²

¹Department of Mathematical Sciences
The Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU) Trondheim, Norway

²Department of Mathematics
University of California, Riverside

International Conference on p -ADIC MATHEMATICAL
PHYSICS AND ITS APPLICATIONS, Belgrade

- We will define a random walk and show convergence to a Brownian motion given by Varadarajan [VSV97]. The convergence is in the sense of weak convergence of probability measures.
- Can be used to prove that certain quantum Hamiltonians can be approximated by finite quantum systems.

Schrödinger operator

- $H = P^\alpha + V$, acting on $L^2(X^d)$ where $X = \mathbf{R}$ or $X = K$, a local field. For simplicity we will work in dimension 1 ($d = 1$).
- $P = \mathcal{F}^{-1}Q\mathcal{F}$, $Q =$ multiplication by the absolute value of the coordinate: $(Qf)(x) = |x|f(x)$.
- α : a positive real number. If $X = \mathbf{R}$ and $\alpha = 2$, we recover the Laplacian: $P^2 = -\Delta$.
- V (potential): multiplication by a continuous function v which goes to infinity at infinity, implying discrete spectrum for H .

Local fields

- K : a local field, i.e., a non-discrete, totally disconnected, locally compact field.
- Two main types of local fields:
 - $\text{char } K = 0$: K is a finite extension of \mathbf{Q}_p for some p .
 - $\text{char } K > 0$: K is isomorphic to the field of Laurent series over a finite field \mathbf{F}_q , where $q = p^f$, $p = \text{char } K$.
- $|\cdot|$: canonical absolute value, induced by the Haar measure. It defines the topology, and is non-Archimedean.
- $O = \{x \in K : |x| \leq 1\}$: a compact sub-ring of K called the ring of integers. It is a discrete valuation ring, i.e., a principal ideal domain with a unique maximal ideal.
- $P = \{x \in K : |x| < 1\}$: the unique maximal ideal of O , called the prime ideal. We have $P = \beta O$ for some $\beta \in O$. Such a β is called a *uniformizer*.
- O/P is a finite field. If $q = p^f$ is the number of elements in O/P , then $|\beta| = 1/q$ for any uniformizer β .

Local fields (cont'd)

- If S is a complete set of representatives for O/P , every $x \in K$ can be written uniquely in the form

$$x = \beta^{-m}(x_0 + x_1\beta + x_2\beta^2 + \cdots),$$

where $m \in \mathbf{Z}$, $x_j \in S$, $x_0 \notin P$. With x written in this form, we have $|x| = q^m$. So $\text{range}(|\cdot|) = \{q^N : N \in \mathbf{Z}\}$.

Haar measure, characters and Fourier transform

Fix a Haar measure μ such that $\mu(O) = 1$, and define the Fourier transform \mathcal{F} by

$$(\mathcal{F}f)(\xi) = \int_K f(x)\chi(-x\xi) dx$$

for a suitably chosen additive character χ . For our setup it will be essential to work with a character of rank 0.¹

¹ $\text{rank}(\chi) = \max\{r \in \mathbf{Z} : \chi|_{B_r} \equiv 1\}$, $B_r = \{x \in K : |x| \leq q^r\}$.

Finite model

- $B_n = \beta^{-n}O =$ ball of radius q^n : an open additive subgroup of K .
- $G_n = B_n/B_{-n}$: a finite group with q^{2n} elements ($n \geq 0$).²
- Each element of G_n has a unique representative of the form

$$a_{-n}\beta^{-n} + a_{-n+1}\beta^{-n+1} + \cdots + a_{-1}\beta^{-1} + a_0 + a_1\beta + \cdots \\ + a_{n-2}\beta^{n-2} + a_{n-1}\beta^{n-1}.$$

We denote the set of these representatives by X_n , and give it the group structure inherited from G_n .

- Haar measure μ_n on G_n :
 $\mu_n(\{x + H_n\}) = \mu(x + H_n) = \mu(H_n) = q^{-n}$, $\{x + H_n\} \in G_n$.
So each point $\{x + H_n\}$ of G_n has mass q^{-n} , and the total mass of G_n is $q^{2n} \cdot q^{-n} = q^n$.

²For convenience we often write $H_n = B_{-n}$; so for instance we have $G_n = H_{-n}/H_n$.

- L^2 -isometric imbedding $L^2(G_n) \rightarrow L^2(K)$:

$$\mathbf{1}_{\{x+H_n\}} \in L^2(G_n) \mapsto \mathbf{1}_{x+H_n} \in L^2(K).$$

An operator on $L^2(G_n)$ is regarded as an operator on $L^2(K)$ via this imbedding, by setting it equal to 0 on the orthogonal complement of the image of $L^2(G_n)$.

Important subspaces of $L^2(K)$

- $\mathcal{C}_n = \{f \in L^2(K) \mid \text{supp}(f) \subset B_n\}$. The corresponding orthogonal projection is denoted by C_n and is given by:
 $C_n f = \mathbf{1}_{B_n} f$.
- $\mathcal{S}_n = \{f \in L^2(K) \mid f \text{ is locally constant of index } \leq q^{-n}\}$. The corresponding orthogonal projection is denoted by S_n and is given by:
 $(S_n f)(x) = q^n \int_{H_n} f(x+y) dy = \frac{1}{\mu(H_n)} \int_{H_n} f(x+y) dy = \text{ave}(f, n, x)$, where we have introduced the notation $\text{ave}(f, n, x)$ for the average value of f over $x + H_n$.
- $\mathcal{D}_n = \mathcal{C}_n \cap \mathcal{S}_n$. The corresponding orthogonal projection is denoted by D_n .

$L^2(G_n)$ is mapped onto \mathcal{D}_n via the isometric imbedding mentioned above. Thus $L^2(G_n)$ can be thought of as the set of functions on K which have support in B_n and which are invariant under translation by elements of $H_n (= B_{-n})$.

Commutation relations

$$D_n = C_n S_n = S_n C_n$$

$$\mathcal{F}C_n = S_n, \quad \mathcal{F}S_n = C_n, \quad \mathcal{F}D_n = D_n$$

$$\mathcal{F}C_n = S_n \mathcal{F}, \quad \mathcal{F}S_n = C_n \mathcal{F}, \quad \mathcal{F}D_n = D_n \mathcal{F}$$

Fourier transform at the finite level

Let as before χ be a rank zero character on K . The bi-character $(x, y) \mapsto \chi(xy)$ descends to a non-degenerate bi-character on $G_n = B_n/B_{-n}$, thus the natural choice for an L^2 -isometric Fourier transform on $X_n \cong G_n$ is

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{F}_n f)(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{|X_n|}} \sum_{y \in X_n} f(y) \chi(-xy) \\ &= q^{-n} \sum_{y \in X_n} f(y) \chi(-xy), \quad x \in X_n, \quad f \in L^2(X_n).\end{aligned}$$

Crucial fact:

The Fourier transform \mathcal{F} on K descends to the Fourier transform \mathcal{F}_n on X_n :

$$\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{D}_n} = \mathcal{F}_n, \text{ i.e., } \mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{F}D_n = D_n\mathcal{F}.$$

Dynamical operators at the finite level

The finite operators are obtained through compression by the projection D_n :

$$V_n = D_n V D_n, \quad Q_n = D_n Q D_n, \quad P_n = D_n P D_n = \mathcal{F}_n^{-1} Q_n \mathcal{F}_n$$

We have, for $f \in L^2(G_n)$:

$$(V_n f)(x) = v_n(x) f(x), \quad v_n(x) = \frac{1}{\mu(H_n)} \left[\int_{x+H_n} v(h) dh \right]$$

$$(Q_n f)(x) = r_n(x) f(x), \quad r_n(x) = \frac{1}{\mu(H_n)} \left[\int_{x+H_n} |h| dh \right]$$
$$= \begin{cases} |x|, & |x| > q^{-n} \\ \text{ave}(|x|, n, 0), & |x| \leq q^{-n} \end{cases}$$

$$H_n = P_n^\alpha + V_n = \mathcal{F}_n^{-1} Q_n^\alpha \mathcal{F}_n + V_n \text{ (finite Hamiltonian.)}$$

Skorokhod Space over K

Let $D[0, T]$ be the set of Skorokhod functions on $[0, T]$, that is, the space of all K valued functions ω on $[0, T]$ which are right continuous and where the left limit exists:

- $\omega(t^+) = \omega(t)$ for $0 \leq t < T$.
- $\omega(t^-)$ exists for $0 < t \leq T$.
- $\omega(T^-) = \omega(T)$.

Let $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in D[0, T]$. Then a metric is defined by

$$d(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \{ \|\lambda - I\|_\infty, \|\omega_1 - \omega_2 \circ \lambda\|_\infty \},$$

where Λ is the set of all strictly increasing, continuous mappings of $[0, T]$ into itself and I is the identity function. The Skorokhod space is separable, and it is complete in an equivalent metric.

Probability Density

The probability density for Brownian motion σ_t is given by Varadarajan [VSV97]:

$$\rho_t(x) = e^{-t|x|^\alpha}, \quad \sigma_t(x) = [\mathcal{F}^{-1} \rho_t](x).$$

We define it similarly for our finite models:

$$\rho_{n,t}(x) = c_{n,t} e^{-tr_n(x)^\alpha}, \quad \sigma_{n,t}(x) = [\mathcal{F}_n^{-1} \rho_{n,t}](x),$$

where

$$r_n(x) = \begin{cases} |x|, & |x| > q^{-n} \\ \text{ave}(|x|, n, 0), & |x| \leq q^{-n} \end{cases}$$

and $c_{n,t}$ is a positive number, adjusted so that $\rho_{n,t}(0) = 1$.
The densities σ_t and $\sigma_{n,t}$ are positive with integral equal to 1.

Kolmogorov

Fix k time points t_1, \dots, t_k . Define the measure $P_{a_n}^n$ on cylinder sets by

$$P_{a_n}^n(\omega(t_i) \in J_i) = \sum_{\substack{b_j \in J_i \cap X_n \\ 1 \leq i \leq k}} \sigma_{n,t_1}(b_1 - a_n) \cdots \sigma_{n,t_k - t_{k-1}}(b_k - b_{k-1}) q^{-nk},$$

where J_i are Borel sets. It satisfies the consistency conditions:

$$P_{a_n}^{n,t_{\kappa(1)}, \dots, t_{\kappa(k)}}(J_1 \times \cdots \times J_k) = P_{a_n}^{n,t_1, \dots, t_k}(J_{\kappa^{-1}(1)} \times \cdots \times J_{\kappa^{-1}(k)})$$

for any permutation κ on $\{1, \dots, k\}$, and where J_i ($1 \leq i \leq k$) are Borel sets in K .

$$P_{a_n}^{n,t_1, \dots, t_k}(J_1 \times \cdots \times J_k) = P_{a_n}^{n,t_1, \dots, t_k, t_{k+1}, \dots, t_{k+m}}(J_1 \times \cdots \times J_k \times \underbrace{K \times \cdots \times K}_{m \text{ times}}),$$

where J_i ($1 \leq i \leq k$) are Borel sets in K .

By Kolmogorov, we get a probability measure $P_{a_n}^n$ on the set of all paths.

Let X_t be the stochastic process given by $X_t(\omega) = \omega(t)$.

Theorem

Let \mathbf{P}_0 be a measure on the space of all paths on $[0, T]$. If there exist constants $C, a, b, c > 0$ such that for all $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < t_3 \leq T$,

$$E_{\mathbf{P}_0}(|X_{t_2} - X_{t_1}|^a |X_{t_3} - X_{t_2}|^b) \leq C(t_3 - t_1)^{1+c}.$$

Then there exists a unique probability measure \mathbf{P} on $D[0, T]$ which has the same finite-dimensional distributions as \mathbf{P}_0 .

We have that

$$E_{\mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n}(|X_{t_2} - X_{t_1}|^k |X_{t_3} - X_{t_2}|^k) \leq A(t_3 - t_1)^{2k/\alpha},$$

so the Chentsov criterion is satisfied for $\alpha/2 < k < \alpha$. Thus $\mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n$ gives full measure to all paths in $D[0, T]$ starting at a_n .

Furthermore, it has support on the paths on the grid X_n .

The Measure \mathbf{P}_a

The measure \mathbf{P}_a from [VSV97] is constructed in a similar way: Fix k time points t_1, \dots, t_k . Define the measure \mathbf{P}_a on cylinder sets by

$$\mathbf{P}_a(\omega(t_i) \in J_i) = \int_{J_1} \cdots \int_{J_k} \sigma_{t_1}(b_1 - a) \cdots \sigma_{t_k - t_{k-1}}(b_k - b_{k-1}) db_k \cdots db_1,$$

where J_i ($1 \leq i \leq k$) are Borel sets.

By using Kolmogorov and Chentsov we get the measure \mathbf{P}_a on $D[0, T]$.

Weak Convergence of Measures

Definition

Let (S, \mathcal{S}) be a measure space. A sequence of probability measures \mathbf{P}_k is said to converge weakly to \mathbf{P} if $\int f d\mathbf{P}_k \rightarrow \int f d\mathbf{P}$ for all bounded continuous functions f on S . This will be denoted by $\mathbf{P}_k \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}$.

The Portmanteau Theorem

The following are equivalent:

- $\mathbf{P}_k \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}$.
- $\int f d\mathbf{P}_k \rightarrow \int f d\mathbf{P}$ for all bounded, uniformly continuous functions f .
- $\mathbf{P}_k(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(A)$ for all measurable sets A with $\mathbf{P}(\partial A) = 0$.

We wish to prove that $\mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}_a$ when $a_n \rightarrow a$.

Weak Convergence in $D[0, T]$

Definition

A sequence of probability measures \mathbf{P}_m is tight if there for any $\epsilon > 0$ exists a compact set J such that $\mathbf{P}_m(J) > 1 - \epsilon$ for all m .

Theorem

Suppose that \mathbf{P}_m, \mathbf{P} are probability measures on $D[0, T]$ such that

- $\mathbf{P}_m^{t_1, \dots, t_N} \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{t_1, \dots, t_N}$ for all t_1, \dots, t_N in $[0, T]$.
- *The measures \mathbf{P}_m are tight: There are constants $C, a, b, c > 0$ such that for all m and $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < t_3 \leq T$,*

$$E_{\mathbf{P}_m}(|X_{t_2} - X_{t_1}|^a |X_{t_3} - X_{t_2}|^b) \leq C(t_3 - t_1)^{1+c},$$

then $\mathbf{P}_m \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}$.

Since our estimate for Chentsov's criterion is independent of n , we know that the measures $\mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n$ are tight.

From Billingsley:

Theorem

Let \mathbf{P} be a probability measure, and let \mathbf{P}_m be a sequence of probability measures. Suppose that

- \mathcal{A} is a π -system
- Every open set is a countable union of elements in \mathcal{A} .

If $\mathbf{P}_m(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\mathbf{P}_m \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}$.

To prove that $\mathbf{P}_{a_n}^{n, t_1, \dots, t_k} \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}_a^{t_1, \dots, t_k}$, we only have to prove that

$$\mathbf{P}_{a_n}^{n, t_1, \dots, t_k}(\omega(t_i) \in B_i) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_a^{t_1, \dots, t_k}(\omega(t_i) \in B_i)$$

for all balls B_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Theorem

We have that

$$\mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}_a$$

when $a_n \rightarrow a$.

Conditional Measure (Brownian Motion)

The conditional measure $\mathbf{P}_{a,b,T}$ is defined in [VSV97] by

$$\mathbf{P}_{a,b,T}(B) = \mathbf{P}_a(B | (\omega(T) = b)).$$

Since we are conditioning on a set of measure 0, it is defined by probability densities. The Chentsov criterion holds [VSV97], so it gives full measure to the Skorokhod paths which start at a and end up at b at time T , and it models a Brownian motion in K going from a to b in time T .

Conditional Measure (Random Walk)

We define the conditional measure $\mathbf{P}_{a_n, b_n, T}^n$ of a Borel set B by

$$\mathbf{P}_{a_n, b_n, T}^n(B) = \frac{\mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n(B \cap (\omega(T) = b_n))}{\mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n(\omega(T) = b_n)}.$$

It gives full measure to the Skorokhod paths which start at a_n and end up at b_n at time T .

We wish to prove that $\mathbf{P}_{a_n, b_n, T}^n \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{a, b, T}$ when $a_n \rightarrow a$ and $b_n \rightarrow b$. The proof for convergence of the finite-dimensional distributions goes exactly as for the unconditioned measures. The difficult part is tightness, and the proof is similar to the one in [DVV94].

Modulus of Continuity

Definition

The "modulus of continuity" for a Skorokhod path ω is

$$m(\omega : \delta) = \sup_{\substack{s_1 < s < s_2 \\ |s_2 - s_1| < \delta}} \min\{|\omega(s_2) - \omega(s)|, |\omega(s) - \omega(s_1)|\}.$$

Theorem

Let \mathbf{P}_k be a sequence of probability measures on $D[0, T]$.

TFAE:

- The sequence of measures \mathbf{P}_k is tight.
- For every $\eta > 0$,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{P}_k(\{\omega : m(\omega : \delta) \geq \eta\}) = 0$$

uniformly in k .

Comparison with Unconditioned Measure

Define

$$m_1(\omega : \delta) = \sup_{\substack{s_1 < s < s_2, s_2 - s_1 < \delta \\ s_2 \leq 3T/4}} \min\{|\omega(s_2) - \omega(s)|, |\omega(s) - \omega(s_1)|\}$$

With $A_1 = \{\omega : m_1(\omega : \delta) \geq \eta\}$, we first prove that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{P}_{a_n, b_n, T}^n(A_1) = 0$$

uniformly in n . The advantage here is that $3T/4$ is far away from T where we are conditioning.

$$\mathbf{P}_{a_n, b_n, T}^n(A_1) \leq C \mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n(A_1)$$

for some constant C . The measures $\mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n$ are tight so for every $\eta > 0$,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{P}_{a_n, b_n, T}^n(A_1) \leq C \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{P}_{a_n}^n(A_1) = 0$$

uniformly in n .

Time-reversal

We can do the same over the interval $[T/4, T]$ by time-reversal:

$$x^*(s) = x(T - s - 0), \quad 0 \leq s < T$$

and $x^*(T) = x(0)$. The time reversal is a Borel function which is involutive. Define the probability measure $\mathbf{P}^*(E) = \mathbf{P}(E^*)$. With this definition

$$(\mathbf{P}_{a_n, b_n, T}^n)^* = \mathbf{P}_{b_n, a_n, T}^n$$

This comes from stochastic continuity, which means that if $s_j < s$, then

$$\mathbf{P}_{a_n, b_n, T}^n(\omega : |X_s - X_{s_j}| > \epsilon) \rightarrow 0.$$

as $s_j \rightarrow s$.

Theorem

We get that $\mathbf{P}_{a_n, b_n, T}^n \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{a, b, T}$ when $a_n \rightarrow a$ and $b_n \rightarrow b$.

Uniform Convergence of the Measures

We have proved that $\mathbf{P}_{a_n, b_n, T}^n \Rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{a, b, T}$ when $a_n \rightarrow a$ and $b_n \rightarrow b$. The convergence is also uniform when a and b vary in a compact set: If g is any bounded continuous function on $D[0, T]$, then

$$\int_{D[0, T]} g(\omega) d\mathbf{P}_{a_n, b_n, T}^n(\omega) \rightarrow \int_{D[0, T]} g(\omega) d\mathbf{P}_{a, b, T}(\omega)$$

is uniform with respect to a and b varying in a compact set.

$$\begin{aligned}
 e^{-tP_n^\alpha} g(x) &= e^{-t\mathcal{F}_n^{-1} Q_n^\alpha \mathcal{F}_n} g(x) \\
 &= \mathcal{F}_n^{-1} e^{-tQ_n^\alpha} \mathcal{F}_n g(x) = [\mathcal{F}_n^{-1} e^{-tr_n^\alpha} * g](x) = [\sigma_{n,t} * g](x),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$e^{-(t/N)Q_n} e^{-(t/N)V_n} g(x) = \int_{G_n} \sigma_{n,t/N}(y-x) e^{-(t/N)v_n(y)} g(y) d\mu_n(y)$$

$$\left(e^{-(t/N)Q_n} e^{-(t/N)V_n} \right)^N g(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_{G_n^N} \sigma_{n,t/N}(x - x_1) \cdots \sigma_{n,t/N}(x_{N-1} - x_N) \\
 &\cdot e^{-(t/N) \sum_{i=1}^N v_n(x_i)} g(x_N) d\mu_n(x_1) \cdots d\mu_n(x_N)
 \end{aligned}$$

By using the Trotter product formula one obtains Feynman-Kac.

$$e^{-tH_n}(j_n, k_n) = \int_{D[0,t]} e^{-\int_0^t v_n(\omega(s)) ds} d\mathbf{P}_{j_n, k_n, t}^n(\omega) \cdot \sigma_{n,t}(k_n - j_n) q^{-n}.$$